Effectiveness of Asthma Education with and Without a Self-Management Plan in Hospitalized Children
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Background. Formal education in primary care can reduce asthma exacerbations. However, there are few studies in hospitalized children, with none originating in Latin America. Methods. A prospective randomized study was designed to evaluate whether a full education with self-management plan (ESM) was more effective than an education without self-management plan (E) in reducing asthma hospitalization. Children (5 to 15 years of age) who were hospitalized for an asthma attack were divided in two groups. Children in the E group received general instructions based on a booklet. Those in the ESM group received the same booklet plus a self-management guide and a puzzle game that reinforces the lessons learned in the booklet. Patients were interviewed every 3 months, by telephone, for one year. Interviewers recording the number of hospitalizations, exacerbations, and emergency visits for asthma and oral steroid burst uses. Results. From 88 children who met the inclusion criteria, 77 (86%) completed one year of follow-up (41 from E and 36 from ESM group). Overall, after one year, the hospitalization decreased by 66% and the inhaled corticosteroids therapy increased from 36% to 79%. At the end of the study, there was no difference in exacerbations, emergency visits, oral steroid burst uses, or hospitalizations between the two groups. Conclusions. Asthma education with or without a self-management plan during asthma hospitalization were effective in reducing exacerbations, emergency visits, oral steroid burst uses, and future rehospitalizations. This evidence supports the importance of providing a complete asthma education plan in any patient who is admitted for asthma exacerbation.
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INTRODUCTION

Asthma is one of the most common chronic diseases in children and has a high correlation to economic burden. The prevalence ranges worldwide, from 6% in the United States to 36% in Costa Rica (1). In Chile, a country in Latin America (a globally poor region), the prevalence is 18% for children 6 to 7 years of age and 15% for 13 to 14 years of age (2). In addition, asthmatic children from a lower socioeconomic status are more prone to have more exacerbations and hospitalizations (40%) than asthmatics from higher socioeconomic status (3). It is well known that poverty is directly related to less education. Moreover, asthma education is a key element for the success in asthma management and to achieve the patient’s comprehension of many factors related with the disease. Such factors include nature of the disease, triggers, treatment options, early recognition of exacerbations, and a rescue action plan. A recent study conducted in Colombia, Latin America, reported that when parents believe that asthma must be treated only when the child is ill the emergency department consultation increased by 3.3 times than when the parents believe that asthma must have a regular treatment (4).

Moreover, a Cochrane review showed that if children have an asthma self-management plan their risk of exacerbation is reduced by 27% (5). The education about asthma is usually done by primary care, where most of the patients consult. However, we believe that giving information during hospitalization for asthma attacks could also be effective. This is the time when the parents may see the seriousness of asthma and how the available drugs can be effective. However, there are only few self-management education studies conducted on hospitalized asthmatic patients in children (6); and none took place in Latin America.

We hypothesize that the educational self-management plan during hospitalization is more effective in reducing future exacerbations than traditional education among children from a socioeconomically disadvantaged population in Chile.

METHODS

We conducted a prospective study of children 5 to 15 years of age admitted for asthma attacks at the pediatric section of Dr. Sótero del Río Children’s Hospital, Santiago, Chile. This hospital is an inner-city facility that attends a socioeconomically disadvantaged population (around 1 to 5 million). During the enrollment period (May 2006 through April 2007) all the children admitted for asthma attacks who stayed more than 24 hours were invited to participate in the study. A demographic questionnaire about their personal and family backgrounds and characteristics of their asthma
in the previous 12 months was completed by the parents or guardians at the admissions stage of the study. Asthma in the child was defined if they had received that diagnosis from their physician or if they had had at least two symptoms of asthma (wheezing, tight chest, shortness of breath, or coughing with exercise) in the past year. Allergic rhinitis was defined by the presence of rhinorrhea, nasal itching, and sneezing without having a cold during the past year. Patients were excluded from the study if they had other lung diseases, such as cystic fibrosis, ciliary dyskinesia, chronic lung injury secondary to aspiration, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, foreign body, bronchiolitis obliterans, cardiopulmonary malformations, or neurological alterations.

Each child included in the study was randomized (by a computer program) to receive one of the two education programs, either standard education program (E) or self-management education program (ESM). Children from the E group received a general education about the etiology, triggers, types, severity, and treatment of asthma and the correct use of the inhalers with spacers. That education lasted 30 minutes and was collected by a research nurse. At the end of the session and after a conversation with the patients and their parents or guardians, the nurse distributed a booklet that reinforced those topics. Children in the ESM group received the same general education and booklet including a self-management guideline. This information taught the children and their families how to recognize an asthma exacerbation based on the symptoms stratified in green, yellow, orange, or red lights. The nurse also taught what to do in different scenarios (e.g., series of 2 puffs of salbutamol by metered dose inhaler [MDI] every 10 minutes for one hour plus oral prednisone [1 mg/kg daily, a maximum of 30 mg/d, for 5 days] if the exacerbation is more severe and a visit to the emergency room if needed when management does not improve the symptoms). Moreover, children in the ESM group received a puzzle game to reinforce the educational session with their families at home.

We taught the families of both groups (E and ESM) to register in a card any asthma exacerbations, hospitalizations, emergency visits, and the therapy used in exacerbations, as well as chronic therapy used monthly for one year. Asthma exacerbations were defined as the use of rescue bronchodilators for more than 2 days. After the discharge from the hospital, the families of the children were contacted by telephone every 3 months for the whole year by an external source. The source did not know in which group the child was, every 3 months for the whole year by an external source.

To evaluate differences between groups (E and ESM), the chi-square test and t test and analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni correction were used for categorical and for continuous variables, respectively. We considered a confidence interval of $\alpha = 0.05$ with a level of significance 95%. Assuming that 90% of children with moderate to severe asthma have at least one exacerbation again in the next year, 33 children are required by each group to detect a difference of 30%. All calculations were performed using SPSS v.15.0.

### RESULTS

Eighty-eight of 160 children hospitalized for asthma attack during a 1-year period (May 2006 through April 2007) met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled in the study. The remaining 72 children arrived during the weekend or stayed for less than 24 hours so they could not be included. No parents or guardians declined to participate in the study after being invited. Eleven of 88 children (12.5%) were excluded from the study because they did not answer the telephone (5 from the E and 6 from the ESM group); therefore 77 (87.5%) children finished the study (41 from the E and 36 from the ESM group). However, there were no significant differences in demographic characteristics, morbidity, or treatment between children who finished the study and those who did not complete the study (Table 1).

At admission to the study, there were no significant differences in age, gender, allergic rhinitis, and parental asthma between the E and the ESM groups (Table 2). The duration of asthma was similar between both groups. Overall, more than half had cough with exercise and more than 80% had cough at night between exacerbations. Also, children from both groups had similar parameters of asthma severity in the previous year (number and length of hospitalization, number of exacerbations, number of emergency department visits, and bursts of oral prednisone, see Table 2). Overall, one third of the children had a history of previous hospitalizations and also one third had 6 or more exacerbations in the previous 12 months. In contrast, only 10% of children had no exacerbations in the last year. Furthermore, and without difference between groups, only 42% of the parents recognized that their child had asthma while the rest of parents believed that their child had either “recurrent bronchiolitis” (48%) or bronchial hyperreactivity (3%). The use of inhaled corticosteroids was 35% in the E group and 38% in the ESM group ($p = 0.6$).

Before the present hospitalization, but when the child was enrolled in the study, all the patients received standard management at the emergency department in our hospital. Bronchodilators such as salbutamol alone (by metered dose in-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1.—Demographic characteristics, morbidity, and treatment (% or mean ± SD) between those who completed follow-up and those who did not complete follow-up.</th>
<th>Complete follow-up (n = 77)</th>
<th>Did not complete follow-up (n = 11)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years ± SD)</td>
<td>8.0 ± 2.34</td>
<td>7.8 ± 2.40</td>
<td>0.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>63.6%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental asthma</td>
<td>38.9%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous diagnosis of asthma by physician</td>
<td>41.6%</td>
<td>54.5%</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled corticosteroid use</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6 exacerbations</td>
<td>33.8%</td>
<td>36.4%</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough at night between the exacerbations</td>
<td>83.1%</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough at night between the exacerbations</td>
<td>54.6%</td>
<td>72.7%</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
haler [MDI] and spacer device or by nebulization) or with 
ipropronium bromide (only 1 patient from the E group and 2 
from the ESM group received ipropronium bromide) and sys-
temic corticosteroids (hydrocortisone 10 mg/kg IV or pred-
nisone 2 mg/kg/day PO) were used. During this admission, 
there were no differences of oxygen requirements and length 
of hospitalization between the E versus ESM group (FiO2 
= 0.37 vs. 0.36 and 3.04 ± 1.6 vs. 2.8 ± 1.8, p = 0.536, 
respectively). Only 5 children used noninvasive ventilation (2 
from E and 3 from ESM group). The most frequent antibi-
totic used was clarithromycin (19.6% vs. 34.2%, respectively, 
respectively). Only 5 children used noninvasive ventilation (2 
eters at each different period of evaluation (e.g., 3, 6, 9, and 
groups of asthma education program. 

2.—Demographic characteristics, morbidity, and treatment (% or mean 
± SD) between the two groups of asthma education programs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E (n = 41)</th>
<th>ESM (n = 36)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y ± SD)</td>
<td>8.3 ± 2.4</td>
<td>7.7 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Males</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allergic rhinitis</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 2 years with asthma</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parental asthma</td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous diagnosis of asthma by physician</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asthma treatment and morbidity in the last year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E</th>
<th>ESM</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inhaled corticosteroid use</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalizations</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 6 exacerbations</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough at night between the exacerbations</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough with exercise between the exacerbations</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation associated with common colds</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation associated with contamination exposure</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exacerbation associated with allergens exposure</td>
<td>56%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of exacerbations | 5.40 ± 4.1 | 6.80 ± 5.2 | 0.21 |
Number of emergency visits | 3.07 ± 3.0 | 3.47 ± 3.2 | 0.58 |
Number of prednisone burst | 1.76 ± 1.9 | 1.64 ± 1.1 | 0.75 |
Number of previous hospitalization | 0.29 ± 0.5 | 0.47 ± 0.8 | 0.31 |

E = education without self-management plan, ESM = education with self-management plan.

At the end of the 1-year follow-up, the number of ex-
acerbations, prednisone bursts, emergency visits, and hos-
italizations were similar between the E and ESM groups, 
although the emergency visits were marginally lower among children in the ESM group (Table 3). Also, a significant 
improvement on asthma control before and after the edu-
cational intervention was observed in children inside each group (Table 4). When we analyzed these morbidity param-
eters at each different period of evaluation (e.g., 3, 6, 9, and 
12 months), there were no significant differences between
groups (data not shown). During the follow-up, the use of 
inhaled corticosteroids increased similarly in both groups at 3 
months (91.4% for the E group vs. 88.9% for the ESM group), at 6 months (82.9% vs. 88%, respectively), and at 12 
months (77.1% vs. 81.5%, respectively). The inhaled corti-
costeroid used daily was budesonide in MDI (average dose of 
400 µg/d).

**DISCUSSION**

This study has shown that in our population either educa-
tional strategy, with or without self-management plan, started 
at the moment the children were hospitalized for an asthma 
attack had a significant impact in improving their asthma 
control. These strategies resulted in reducing exacerbations by 51%, emergency visits by 60%, systemic steroid bursts by 62%, and re-hospitalization by 75% at the end of the 1-year follow-up.

Previous to the intervention, our patients had their asthma 
uncontrolled, as 30% had at least one previous hospitalization, 
30% had 6 or more exacerbations, 56% had symptoms with 
exercise, and more than 80% had cough at night between exacerbations in the previous year. It is important to stress 
that although inhaled corticosteroids have been indicated at 
baseline in 80% of the children, only 35% used them and only 
42% of the parents recognized that their child had asthma. 
Perhaps most of the parents had difficulty in accepting the 
chronic condition of their child due to the stigma of asthma or 
had difficulty getting an appointment by a specialized physi-
cian who could really provide the correct diagnosis. A similar 
situation took place in San Diego, CA, where the Hispanic 
population had 29% less diagnosis of asthma than whites (7). 
The accurate rate labelling of the disease allows an effective 
treatment and management as well as better accounting of the 
public health impact and adequate mobilization of resources to 
tackle it.

In Santiago, Chile, among all the public’s consultations in 
schoolchildren from 5 to 9 years of age in 2006 and 2007, 
those with asthma were 5.8% and 5.5%, respectively (data 
from www.ssmso.cl). In the United States, in 2006, asthma-
related hospitalization was 5.6% of all hospitalization among 
children, and the most adverse outcomes (emergency visits,
hospitalizations, and death) were found to be more prevalent among certain populations (e.g. minority race/ethnicity, boys, and very young children and adolescents). Non-Hispanic black children have an emergency visit rate 4.1 times higher and a death rate 7.6 times higher than non-Hispanic white children; black children have an asthma-related hospitalization rate 3 times higher than that of white children. Asthma-related death among Mexican children was higher than non-Hispanic white children (RR 1.5 vs. 1.1) (8).

It is important to stress that in our study, before the educational intervention program almost one third of the children had a history of previous hospitalizations for asthma, as was previously described by Canino et al. (9) in a population with poor education and less adherence to chronic therapy. However, overall after the intervention hospitalizations declined in 66%, from 30% to 10% of the total population studied. This dramatic decline in both groups may have been possible owing to the high quality of the education session done by the trained nurse while the child was hospitalized by the asthma attack. However, at least three other factors could play a role: (1) the recurrent phone calls made every 3 months, (2) the improvement of inhaled corticosteroids used (from 36% to 90% after 3 months of the intervention and to 78% at the end of the one year study), and (3) the decrease by 15% of prevalence of acute respiratory tract infections during 2007 compared with the previous year. The rate of rehospitalization after one year of follow-up, observed in our study, is similar to the reported in a study done in a developed Nordic country (10) where asthmatic schoolchildren had 15% of rehospitalizations in 2 years and with similar averages in the length of hospitalizations (2.5 days) than our population. In a recent study (6), 100 children (2 to 15 years of age) were assigned to receive two structured asthma education programs (booklet vs. booklet plus pictures and video) during their hospitalization for asthma and were received follow-up for 3 months. At the end of the study children from the most complete educational program had significantly fewer hospitalizations (5.5% vs. 28.8%, p = 0.003) and fewer emergency visits (24 vs. 57 visits, p = 0.004). Although experiences of asthma education programs during a hospitalization are scarce, all of this data suggests that asthma hospitalization is a good moment for parents and children to receive an education program.

Between 1998 and 2005, a study of auditing the management of children hospitalized for an asthma attack in the UK showed that providing written asthma plans significantly increased from 24% to 41% (p < 0.001). The respiratory specialist gave significantly more written asthma plan than general pediatrics (OR = 1.73; 95% CI: 1.55 to 1.93) (11). Similarly to our study, 30% of the children have had at least one previous admission in the last 12 months. Therefore, this UK audit recommends the inclusion of a good asthma education guideline before discharge to diminish the morbidity in the future. In our study, before the intervention, none of children received an education asthma program at discharge from the hospital during their past asthma attack. Therefore, the significant difference in the asthma control seen in our population (exacerbations, fewer emergency visits, less systemic corticosteroid bursts, and reduction in hospitalizations) could be explained, at least in part, by the two educational programs that our patients received. Cloutier et al. (12) found that prescribing anti-inflammatory medication is necessary, but not enough to reduce hospitalization and emergency visits, and other elements such as written asthma plan and standardized therapy would be also important.

This study has some limitations. First, because children from both groups need to fill out the monthly card and received a telephone call every 3 months by the nurse, this could have a positive reinforcement in their asthma management. This situation happens in clinical trials but not in the real-world, as was shown by Covar et al. (13). Second, the improvements in the outcomes could be related to the higher level of inhaled corticosteroids used in both groups after the enrollment. Third, a contamination of the education program could have occurred when more than one patient shared the same room during the asthma hospitalization. In our hospital the pediatrics unit can receive two to six children, although we intended to reduce this possibility by maintaining the possible participants in different rooms during the study period. Finally, although the total of children who completed the 1 year of follow-up reach the sample size calculated, it is always possible to see differences between groups by having a larger number of patients in the study. Therefore, this study cannot exclude the beneficial role of asthma self-management plans that are broadly recommended by international asthma guidelines (14–16) as an important factor for asthma control.

**Conclusion**

After one year of follow-up, this study has shown that during hospitalization, the start-up an individually taught educational program, assisted by booklet with or without self-management, was an effective strategy to reduce asthma morbidity (fewer exacerbations, emergency visits, re-hospitalizations, and prednisone bursts used). These findings may support the importance of providing an education program in all children who are hospitalized for asthma attacks and using particular time to teach the patients and their families how to improve the asthma control.
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